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ABSTRACT

The goal of this study was investigating effects of pH and temperature on the production of tra fish protein hydrolysate (FPH) fish protein concentrate (FPC) using alcalase. Temperature of 55 °C and pH 8.5 were the best condition for highest degree of hydrolysis (around 55%) after 60 min of reaction. Under hydrolysis, protein solubility was significantly increased from 4% to >90%. FPC contained fractions of 50, 40, 37, 15, 12, and 11×10^3 g mol^-1. After 15 or 120 min of enzymatic reaction, molecular weight was degraded to 4.86 and 4.31 (×10^3 g mol^-1) of FPH15 or FPH120 samples. Due to the increasing of protein solubility during the hydrolysis, water holding capacity reduced from 2.81 mL g^-1 (FPC) to <0.3 mL g^-1 of FPH15 and FPH120 samples. Besides, emulsion activity index and emulsion stability index of samples were ordered as FPH15>FPH120>FPC and FPH120>FPH15>FPC. Furthermore, foam activity index and foam stability index of samples were arranged as FPH15>FPH120>FPC. At pH 4.0, all these indexes were lowest. Generally, under enzymatic hydrolysis, FPH could be possessed of different molecular weights and physico-chemical properties. Thus, FPH could reach various demand of industrial applications.
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RESUMO

O objetivo deste estudo foi investigar os efeitos do pH e da temperatura na produção e caracterização de hidrolisado de proteína de peixe-panga (FPH) concentrado protéico de peixe (FPC), utilizando alcalase. Temperatura de 55 °C e pH 8.5 foram as melhores condições para o maior grau de hidrólise (cerca de 55%) após 60 minutos de reação. Sob hidrólise, a solubilidade da proteína aumentou significativamente de 4% para > 90%. O FPC continha frações de 50, 40, 37, 15, 12 e 11×10^3 g mol^-1. Após 15 ou 120 min de reação enzimática, o peso molecular foi degradado para 4.86 e 4.31 (×10^3 g mol^-1) de amostras de FPH15 ou FPH120. Devido ao aumento da solubilidade das proteínas durante a hidrólise, a capacidade de retenção de água reduziu de 2.81 mL g^-1 (FPC) para <0,3 mL g^-1 das amostras de FPH15 e FPH120. Além disso, o índice de atividade da emulsão e o índice de estabilidade da emulsão das amostras foram ordenados como FPH15>FPH120>FPC e FPH120>FPH15>FPC. Além disso, o índice de atividade da espuma e o índice de estabilidade da espuma das amostras foram organizados como FPH15>FPH120>FPC. Em pH 4.0, todos esses índices foram mais baixos. Geralmente, sob hidrólise enzimática, a FPH pode possuir diferentes pesos moleculares e propriedades físico-químicas. Assim, a FPH poderia atingir várias demandas de aplicações industriais.
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INTRODUCTION

Fish protein hydrolysate (FPH) could be produced by the enzymatic hydrolysis of fish products. Degree of hydrolysis, which depended on factors of the reaction (pH and temperature) significantly affected on characteristics of FPH (Clemente, 2000). Water-soluble FPH contained balanced amino acid components that have positive effects on the digestive system (Gbogouri et al., 2004). The hydrolysis could improve physico-chemical properties (such as solubility, emulsion activity index, emulsion stability index, foam activity index, foam stability index) of fish protein for food applications (Asbjørn Gildberg, 1993; Chalamaiah et al., 2010). Fish is one of an important protein source for human diet. In 2010, around 16.7% of the global intake of animal protein was fish (Nurdiani et al., 2016). FPH was a bioactive nutrition that can be absorbed easily in the human body (Nesse et al., 2011). Nowadays, the advantages of natural and bioactive products attracted not only consumers but also food scientists. In many countries, fish protein hydrolysate was used as functional foods (Marchbank et al., 2008).

In this study, we produced tra fish protein hydrolysate using alcalase enzyme. Its molecular weight and physico-chemical properties were characterized. The results of this study can be useful for industrial production and food applications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

a) Preparation of Fish Protein Concentrate (FPC) and Fish Protein Hydrolysate (FPH)

Tra fish fillet contained of 18.6% protein, 3.4% lipid, 1.1% ash and 76.5% moisture. FPC was prepared from tra fish fillet using isopropanol and ethanol following a previous study (Trinh et al., 2017). The FPC powder (10 g) was added 0.2 M phosphate buffer (1,000 mL, pH from 7.0 to 8.0) or 0.2 M borate buffer (1,000 mL, pH from 8.5 to 9.0). Enzyme alcalase 2.4 L FG (1.0 mL, 2.4 Anson unit g\(^{-1}\), Novozyme) was added. For prevention of contaminants, sodium azide (0.2 g) was added to this mixture (Herman & Malcolm, 1944). Enzymatic reaction was conducted at 50-60 °C under continuous shaking (200 rpm). After 180 min, the reaction was stopped by boiling for 15 min. Then, the mixture was cooled down to room temperature. Next, FPH was collected by freeze-drying. FPH was ground, sieved (0.125 mm aperture) and kept in vacuum-PE plastic package at 4 °C until next experiments.

b) Degree of hydrolysis, protein solubility, and water holding capacity measurements

Degree of hydrolysis (DH, %) was determined by the percentage of soluble protein in 10 g% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid base on total protein of the sample (Hoyle & Merritt, 1994). Protein solubility (PS, %) was the percentage of soluble protein on total protein of the sample (Vojdani, 1996).

Water holding capacity (WHC, mL g\(^{-1}\)) was analyzed following a previous method (Rodriguez-Ambriz et al., 2005). Protein sample (100 mg) was mixed well in 1.0 mL of distilled water. Then, protein suspension was centrifuged at 1,800×g for 20 min at 30 °C. Supernatant was decanted and the tube was drained at a 45° angle for 10 min. The volume of free liquid was measured and the remaining liquid was expressed as milliliter of water absorbed per gram of protein.
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c) Emulsion activity index, emulsion stability index, foam activity index, and foam stability index measurements

Emulsion activity index (EAI) and emulsion stability index (ESI) were characterized following previous studies (Pearce & Kinsella, 1978; Klompong et al., 2007). Foam activity index (FAI) and foam stability index (FSI) were analyzed following a previous paper (Sze-Tao & Sathe, 2000).

d) Molecular weight measurement

Molecular weight of fish protein concentrate (FPC) and fish protein hydrolysate (FPH) were characterized using SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and gel permeation chromatography (GPC). SDS-PAGE electrophoresis was done following a published paper (Trudel & Asselin, 1989). GPC was done using HPLC1100 system (Agilent, USA), Ultrahydrogel 500 column (300 mm x 7.8 mm ID), and a Refractive Index detector. Column and detector temperatures are 40 and 35 °C, respectively. 0.5M KNO₃ was the mobile phase with the velocity of 1.0 mL min⁻¹. The injected volume of sample was 20 µl.

e) Statistical analysis

Experiments were conducted in triplicate, and the mean value was reported. Data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA), and the mean separations were determined by Duncan’s test (p<0.05). All statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS software (Ver. 17.0, SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fish protein concentrates powder has the component (%) of protein (88.7), lipid (<0.3), ash (0.58) and moisture (10.42). Obviously, this FPC reached A-type following FAO standard (Windsore, 2001). Comparing to the weight of fresh fillet, the yield of FPC preparation was around 13.0 %.

a) Effect of pH and temperature on degree of hydrolysis (DH) and solubility (PS) during enzymatic hydrolysis

Effects of pH on the hydrolysis were presented on Figure 1 and Figure 2. Actually, pH 7-8 were not suitable for enzymatic hydrolysis. pH 9 presented quickly increasing of DH at the first 45 min. However, pH 8.5 reached the DHmax (around 55%) and PSmax (around 90%) after 60 min. Thus, the best pH activity of alcalase in our reaction might be 8.5. Obviously, DH was positive correlated to PS. It indicated that the hydrolysis resulted in the elevation of protein solubility.

Effects of temperature on hydrolysis were present on Figure 3 and Figure 4. Temperature from 50-60 °C did not much affected on the degree of hydrolysis but significantly influenced the protein solubility (Bhaskar & Mahendrakar, 2008). At pH 8.5 and 55 °C, after 60 min of hydrolysis, both DH and PS reached maximum values.
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In general, both temperature and pH are the main factors affecting enzymatic hydrolysis. Alcalase was reported its best activity and stability at pH 8-10 and 55-75 °C (Novozymes, 2016). During the enzymatic reaction, pH and temperature altered reactants (such as structure and ionization properties) that affected the interaction of enzyme-substance complex (Boikess et al., 1986). At optimal temperature and pH, peptide linkages would be exposed for the attack of protease (Mukhin & Novikov, 2001; Nielsen, 1995). During the reaction, enzyme that continuously attacked on protein led to the breaking down of peptide bonds. Evidently, the increase of DH elevated more soluble peptides in tricloacetic solution resulting high value of PS (Montecalvo et al., 1984). Solubility was probably important functional property of protein hydrolysate and its potential applications.

Hydrophobic protein-protein interactions resulted in decrease solubility, whereas the increase solubility caused by ionic protein-protein interactions. Ionic residues that locate on the surface of protein or peptides introduced electrostatic repulsion between hydration shells around ionic groups and repulsion between peptide molecules. These mechanisms contributed to increase solubility of protein. Furthermore, during enzymatic hydrolysis, protein was gradually cleaved into smaller peptide units that shown the increase of protein solubility (Kristinsson & Rasco, 2000).

Following the results from this study, pH 8.5 and 55 °C were pH value and temperature for further sample preparation. FPH15 and FPH120 were sample that was taken at 15 and 120 min of hydrolysis. These samples represented to the intermediate and intense level of hydrolysis of FPC. Further analysis was conducted to characterize the effects of enzymatic hydrolysis on properties of tra fish protein hydrolysates.
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Figure 3. Effect of temperature on degree of hydrolysis (DH, %) during enzymatic reaction at pH 8.5

Figure 4. Effect of temperature on protein solubility (PS, %) during enzymatic reaction at pH 8.5

b) Water holding capacity (WHC)

Water holding capacity referred the water-binding ability of protein and it against centrifugal force. This was a useful property for certain food formulations. The formation of –COOH and –NH₂ during enzymatic hydrolysis had a substantial effect on the amount of adsorbed water. Normally, fish protein hydrolysate had excellent WHC (Rasco & Barbara, 2000). In this study (Figure 5), water holding capacity of FPC (2.81 mL g⁻¹) was highest comparing to FPH samples (<0.3 mL g⁻¹). Previous study (Taheri et al., 2013) reported a high WHC (5.1 mL g⁻¹) of poultry byproducts and rainbow trout viscera FPHs. Besides, these solubility of these samples was over 90% (at pH>5). Unfortunately, in our study, FPHs that had low molecular weight (Table 1) and highly water-soluble level could not be left on the tube under centrifugation (1,800×g, 20 min, 30 °C) resulting in their extremely low WHC (0.27 mL g⁻¹).

Table 1. Molecular weighta (×10³ g mol⁻¹) of FPHs from GPC analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Mw</th>
<th>Mz</th>
<th>PI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FPH15</td>
<td>3.5194</td>
<td>4.8566</td>
<td>7.1423</td>
<td>1.3799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPH120</td>
<td>3.3044</td>
<td>4.3053</td>
<td>6.0830</td>
<td>1.3029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) $M_n$: Number-Average Molecular Weight; $M_w$: Weight-Average Molecular Weight; $M_z$: z-average molecular weight; PI: polydispersity index= $M_w/M_n$: $10^3$ g mol⁻¹ = 1 kDa

c) Molecular weight

Following SDS-PAGE (Figure 6), FPC contained fractions with molecular weights: (a) >70 kDa (1 kDa=10³ g mol⁻¹), (b) 50 kDa, (c) 40 kDa, (d) 37 kDa, (e) 15 kDa, (f) 11 and 12 kDa. However, there was no signal of the band of FPHs indicating that molecular weight of FPHs was lower than 11 kDa and could not be detected on SDS-PAGE.
Gel permeation chromatography was useful to determine the molecular weight of FPHs. In this study (Table 1), molecular weight was shown as $M_n < M_w < M_z$ (Agilent, 2015). The weight-average molecular weight ($M_w$). Actually, $M_w$ of FPHs decrease during reaction. After 15 and 120 min of hydrolysis, $M_w$ of FPH15 and FPH120 were 4.8566 and 4.3053 ($\times 10^3$ g mol$^{-1}$), respectively. Further hydrolysis (15 to 60 min of reaction), although DH increasing, $M_w$ slowly decreased. Both FPH samples contained PI of 1.30-1.37 reflecting they were certain polymer distribution broadens (Agilent, 2015). Molar mass distribution of FPHs were shown on Figure 7 and Figure 8. Respectively, two samples contained peak (1) and peak (2) with the signal W (logM) as FPH15>FPH120 and FPH15<FPH120. Thus, the first 15 min, molecular weight of protein (from $>70 \times 10^3$ g mol$^{-1}$ to $11 \times 10^3$ g mol$^{-1}$) quickly degraded to smaller peptides ($<4.9 \times 10^3$ g mol$^{-1}$). However, during 105 min of hydrolysis, large peptides (peaks 1) were slowly broken down into smaller molecules (peak 2). These molar masses correlated to their degree of hydrolysis (FPH15 was 30.25 and FPH120 was 53.89). High DH indicated the degradation of protein molecules to smaller peptides (Gbogouri et al., 2004; Sathivel et al., 2003).
**d) Emulsion activity index (EAI) and emulsion stability index (ESI)**

Theoretically, emulsion properties indicated how effectively FPH reduces the interfacial tension between hydrophilic and hydrophobic parts in food (Kristinsson & Rasco, 2000). During homogenization, formed oil globules were coated by proteins or peptides resulting the protective membrane from coalescing (Demetriades et al., 1997). Emulsion indexes of samples were shown on Figure 9 and Figure 10. EAI and ESI of samples were respectively sorted as FPH15>FPH120>FPC and FPH120>FPH15>FPC. At pH 4.0, these indexes were lowest. At pH<4.0 or pH>4.0, both EAI and ESI dramatically increased.

The similar results were announced based on protein hydrolysates from pink perch muscle. EAI and ESI of this FPH were lowest at pH 4.0 and these increased at high pH values (Naqash & Nazeer, 2011). Low molecular weight peptides were not enough amphiphilic to exhibit good emulsifying activity (Chobert et al., 1988). Besides, limited hydrolyzed proteins showed better emulsifying and foaming than extensive hydrolysates (Kristinsson & Rasco, 2000). Generally, for good emulsifying and interfacial properties, peptides should be more than 20 amino acids in length (Lee & Yamauchi, 1987). Furthermore, amino acid composition, sequence and amphiphilic properties of peptides were major factors affecting on emulsion indexes.

In this study, not only molecular weight, but also environmental pH affected on both EAI and ESI of protein hydrolysate (Linder et al., 1996). Solubility, surface hydrophobicity of peptides and the charge of the protective layer surrounding the oil droplets were affected by pH (Sikorski, 2002). At isoelectric point (pHi), the total charge of peptides was zero is resulting its lowest solubility. Comparing to pHi, at lower or higher pH values, peptides could be unfolded due to positive or negative charges, respectively. These charges enhanced the repulsion between peptides and exposed the hydrophilic and hydrophobic residues of peptides promoting a major...

---

**Figure 9.** Effect of pH on emulsion activity index (EAI, m² g⁻¹) of samples

**Figure 10.** Effect of pH on emulsion stability index (ESI, %) of samples
interaction at the oil-water interface (Pacheco-Aguilar, 2008; Klompong et al., 2007). It was reported that solubility is well correlated to emulsion and foaming properties of FPH. At pHₜ, both EAI, ESI and solubility were lowest. Thus, in this study, pHₜ was 4.0 (Taheri et al., 2013).

e) Foaming activity index (FAI) and foaming stability index (FSI)

Foaming activity index (FAI) and foaming stability index (FSI) of samples were shown in Figure 11 and Figure 12. FPC contained lowest the indexes compared to that of others. FAI of FPH120 reached a minimum value at pH 6.0. At pH<6.0, FPA of FPH120 significantly increased. At pH>6.0, FAI of FPH120 slowly increased. In case of FPH15, at the range of pH2.0 to 10, FAI of FPH15 slightly decreased. Generally, foaming activity index of FPH15 was higher than that of FPH120. At pH 4.0, foaming stability index of all samples were zero. The reducing of pH from 4.0 to 2.0 resulting in the dramatically increasing of FSI of FPH15. Besides, the increasing of pH from 4.0 to 10 causing the gradual increase of FSI of FPHs.

Theoretically, the transportation, penetration and reorganization of molecules at the air-water interface affected on the foam formation (Wilde & Clark, 1996). To produce the best foaming properties, a protein must rapidly migrate to the air-water interface resulting the reduction of surface intension, unfold and reorganize its structure (Martin et al., 2002). A previous study said molecular size, structure and hydrophobicity of the protein hydrolysate influenced the absorption rate to the air-water interface (Martin et al., 2002). All these factors were dependent on the source of hydrolyzed parent protein (FPC). The hydrophobicity, net charge, and conformation of protein hydrolysate were changed during the hydrolysis in comparison to the native molecule. The FPH was more flexible, formed a stable interfacial layer and extended the rate of diffusion to the interface causing the improvement of forming ability (Wilde & Clark, 1996). Furthermore, the flexible FPH domains increased the viscosity of protein solution, protein concentration and film thickness (Phillips et al., 1994). The foaming capacity was affected by absorption rate, flexibility and hydrophobicity whilst the foaming stability depended on the viscoelastic nature of the film (Damodaran, 1996). Actually, the pH had a major effect on FAI and FSI values of FPH. The repulsion of peptides via ionic repulsion resulted in the decreased of these values (Klompong et al., 2007).

![Figure 11. Foaming activity index (FAI, %) of FPH at various pH level](image1)

![Figure 12. Foaming stability index (FSI, %) of FPH at various pH level](image2)
CONCLUSION

In this study, both pH and temperature strongly affected on the enzymatic hydrolysis of fish protein concentrate. Its products, the fish protein hydrolysates, showed different molecular weight depending on the condition of hydrolysis. FPHs had lower water holding capacity, higher solubility, and higher emulsion and foaming properties in comparison to FPC. These indicated that FPH contains improved ability that are useful for industrial applications.
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